UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,646	03/30/2006	Mitsunobu Suda	126822	7535
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 06/04/201 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	HINZE, LEO T		
ALEXANDRIA	, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2854	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction25944@oliff.com jarmstrong@oliff.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/566,646	SUDA, MITSUNOBU		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LEO T. HINZE	2854		

		LEO T. HINZE	2854	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE RE	PLY FILED <u>320 May 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1. ⊠ Tr ap ap fo	ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on oplication, applicant must timely file one of the following oplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Ceriods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
	The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
have bee under 37 set forth may redu	ns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extending the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lateruce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). E OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on the hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. 🏻 TI fili Ne	ne Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comping the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extendice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with MENTS.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	Called
	They raise new issues that would require further cor			cause
	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		50.017,	
,	They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
(d)) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. 🔲 Т	he amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 🛭	applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·		
no	lewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all on-allowable claim(s).		•	
ho Th Cl Cl	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) to the new or amended claims would be rejected is provine status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: aim(s) allowed: aim(s) objected to: aim(s) rejected: 12-14 and 19. aim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 16-18 and 21-29.		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
	VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. 🔲 Tł be	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, burecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
er	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o nowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
	ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
	The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:
	Note the attached Information <i>Discl</i> os <i>ure Statement</i> (s). (Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	Nguyen/ visory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2854			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to claim 12 do not appear to raise any new issues that would require further search and consideration, nor do they appear to materially change the scope of the claim. However, the proposed amendments do not appear to overcome the outstanding rejections, nor do the amendments appear to simply issues for appeal.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Regarding the proposed limitation to claim 12 of "the damper member being compressed at the time of stamping," it appears that in Fig. 1 of Ota, which position appears to be a stamping position with print face A flush with print face B, that the damper 9 would be compressed. Regarding the claim limitation "a damper member positioned to generate a biasing force within the stamp that maintains the second print face at a position projected in a stamping direction beyond the first print face in the absence of an external force acting on the first and second print face," it appears that when the stamp of Ota is "unlocked," as described in ¶¶0019-0020 of the English translation, that the spring 9 ultimately causes print face A to move away from print face B so as to allow adjustment of print face A, thereby placing print face B in a projected position beyond print face A when there is no external force acting on print face B.

Regarding Applicant's arguments on pp. 8-9, the examiner finds them unpersuasive. For example, Applicant argues that "the biasing force of spring 9 of Ota pushes the face A of Ota to be even with the stamp B." The examiner disagrees. It appears that the spring 9 is compressed, and faces A and B are made flush, by an external force on the stamp, after which external force is applied, the stamp is locked into position to maintain faces A and B in the position shown in Fig. 1.